

2018; 15(11): 1179-1186. doi: 10.7150/ijms.26771

Research Paper

Correlation between CCL4 gene polymorphisms and clinical aspects of breast cancer

Gui-Nv Hu^{1#}, Huey-En Tzeng^{2,3,4#}, Po-Chun Chen⁵, Chao-Qun Wang⁶, Yong-Ming Zhao¹, Yan Wang⁷, Chen-Ming Su^{8^{\influe}}, Chih-Hsin Tang^{9,10,11^{\influe}}

- 1. Department of Surgical Oncology, Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, Zhejiang, China
- 2. Taipei Cancer Center, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan
- 3. Graduate Institute of Cancer Biology and Drug Discovery, College of Medical Science and Technology, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan
- 4. Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, Taiwan
- 5. Central Laboratory, Shin-Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
- 6. Department of Pathology, Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, Zhejiang, China
- 7. Department of Medical Oncology, Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, Zhejiang, China
- 8. Department of Biomedical Sciences Laboratory, Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, Zhejiang, China
- 9. Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan
- 10. Chinese Medicine Research Center, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan
- 11. Department of Biotechnology, College of Health Science, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan

These authors have contributed equally to this work

Corresponding authors: Chih-Hsin Tang PhD; Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan. E-mail: chtang@mail.cmu.edu.tw and Chen-Ming Su, PhD; Department of Biomedical Sciences Laboratory, Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, Zhejiang, China. E-mail: ericsucm@163.com, proof814@gmail.com

© Ivyspring International Publisher. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2018.04.19; Accepted: 2018.06.30; Published: 2018.07.30

Abstract

Breast cancer is a major cause of cancer mortality amongst women. Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 4 is encoded by the *CCL4* gene; specific *CCL4* gene polymorphisms are related to the risks and prognoses of various diseases. In this study, we examined whether *CCL4* gene single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) predict the risk and progression of breast cancer. Between 2014 and 2016, we recruited 314 patients diagnosed with breast cancer and a cohort of 209 healthy participants (controls) without a history of cancer. Genotyping of the *CCL4* rs1634507, rs10491121 and rs1719153 SNPs revealed no significant between-group differences for these polymorphisms. However, amongst luminal A and luminal B subtypes, compared with patients with the AA genotype, those carrying the AG genotype at SNP rs10491121 were less likely to develop lymph node metastasis. In addition, compared with AA carriers, those carrying the AG + GG genotype at SNP rs10491121 were at lower risk of developing distant metastasis, while the presence of the AT genotype at SNP rs1719153 increased the likelihood of pathologic grade (G3 or G4) disease. Variations in the *CCL4* gene may help to predict breast cancer progression and metastasis.

Key words: single nucleotide polymorphism, breast cancer, chemokine C-C motif ligand 4 (CCL4), genotype

Introduction

Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer deaths amongst women worldwide. Nearly million women worldwide are diagnosed with breast cancer annually and more than 500,000 die from this disease [1]. Besides age, reproductive and gynecologic factors, other risk factors such as family history and environmental factors including tobacco and alcohol consumption, as well as overall amount of physical activity, can greatly modify the risk of developing breast cancer [2]. In addition, gynecologic diseases including polycystic ovarian syndrome and adenomyosis have been found to enhance the risk of breast cancer [3, 4].

Mammography screening and genetic testing have limited sensitivity and specificity for estimating breast cancer risk [2]. It is uncertain as to whether single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping could more accurately predict breast cancer risk and guide disease management [5, 6]. Susceptibility to breast cancer appears to be influenced by certain SNPs, as well as clinicopathologic status [7]. *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* gene mutations increase the risk of breast cancer [8, 9]. Fascin-1 (FSCN1) and high-mobility group box protein 1 (HMGB1) genetic polymorphisms have also been identified as predictive biomarkers for breast cancer [10].

Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 4 (CCL4) is a protein that is encoded by the CCL4 gene and acts as a chemoattractant for natural killer cells, monocytes and various other immune cells in the site of inflamed or damaged tissue. CCL4 polymorphisms influence gene expression, protein function and susceptibility to various diseases, including hepatocellular carcinoma, oral cancer, and psoriasis [11-14]. CCL4 belongs to a cluster of genes located in the chromosomal region 17q11-q21. The CCL4 protein acts as the chemokine being secreted under mitogenic signals and antigens and attracting monocytes, dendritic cells, natural killer cells and other effector cells into the site of inflamed or damaged tissue [15, 16]. On the other hand, the CCL4 gene polymorphisms has been associated with risk and development in oral cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma [12, 17]. Despite the well-known impact of chemokines on cancer progression and the recognition that CCL4 gene SNPs play important roles in a variety of human diseases, little is known about the association between these SNPs and the susceptibility to breast cancer and its progression. In this study, we evaluated the predictive capacity of three CCL4 SNPs as candidate biomarkers for breast cancer risk.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Between 2014 and 2016, we collected 314 blood specimens from patients (cases) diagnosed with breast cancer at Dongyang People's Hospital. A total of 209 healthy, cancer-free individuals served as controls. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before study entry. The Ethics Committee of Dongyang People's Hospital granted study approval. Pathohistologic diagnosis used the World Health Organization breast tumor classification and tumors were graded using the Scarff-Bloom-Richardson method [18]. Breast cancer cases were categorized by estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) and Ki-67 status and grouped under 1 of 4 subtypes: Luminal A (ER-positive [+] and/or PR+, HER2-negative [-], Ki-67 <14%); Luminal B (ER⁺ and/or PR⁺, HER2⁻, Ki-67 \geq 14%; or ER⁺ and/or PR⁺, HER2⁺); HER2-enriched (ER⁻, PR⁻, HER2⁺); or as triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC; ER-, PR-, HER2-) [19-21]. A standardized questionnaire at study entry collected sociodemographic data and electronic medical records provided clinicopathologic information.

Selection of CCL4 polymorphisms

The *CCL4* SNPs selected for this study were identified from multi-allelic copy number variation (CNV) profiles encompassing the q12 region of chromosome 17 containing *CCL4* genes. Nonsynonymous SNPs rs1634507, rs10491121 and rs1719153 were extracted from a search of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) dbSNP database.

Genomic DNA extraction

The QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) purified genomic DNA from peripheral blood leukocytes. The DNA was dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA; pH 7.8), quantified by OD_{260} , then stored at $-20^{\circ}C$ for further analysis.

Real-time PCR

The ABI StepOne[™] real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) assessed sequencing of allelic discrimination for the CCL4 SNP. The TagMan assay used Software Design Specification version 3.0 software (Applied Biosystems) to analyze the discrimination data. Primers and probes consisted of rs1634507 "AGTTTTCTTGACCTCATGAATGCTG-[G/T]TGAGGCTTTATCCCTCTCTCAGGAA" (product ID: C_7451708_10), rs10491121 "CCTATCCCCT TCCTGAATTAAGTCC-[A/G]AATATAGTCAGTCT TTGAGTGTGGA" (product ID: C 11626804 10) and rs1719153 "TAGGGACTGTTGCACCGAGTTTCAC-[A/T]GTTAAGGAAACAGAGGCACAGAGAG" (product ID: C 12120537 10). PCRs were performed in a total volume of 10 µL containing Master Mix (5 μ L), probes (0.25 μ L) and genomic DNA (10 ng). The real-time PCR reaction included an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 min, then 40 amplification cycles of 95°C for 15 secs and 60°C for 1 min [19, 22].

Statistical analysis

Between-group differences were considered significant if *p*-values were less than 0.05. Chi-square analysis tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the SNP genotype distributions. The Mann-Whitney U-test and Fisher's exact test were utilized for between-group demographic comparisons. Multiple logistic regression models adjusted for confounding variables estimated adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for associations between genotype frequencies and the risk of breast cancer or clinicopathologic characteristics. Haplotype frequencies were analyzed using Haploview [23]. All data were analyzed with the software program Statistical Analytic System version 9.1 and are reported as the sample mean \pm the standard deviation (SD).

Results

All study participants were Chinese Han (Table 1). The majority were nonsmokers and did not consume alcohol. There was a significantly higher proportion of younger age participants in the control group compared with the breast cancer cohort (p<0.05). Most patients (77.1%) had stage I/II breast cancer; 22.9% had stage III/IV disease (Table 1). In an analysis of hormone receptor status, tumors were mostly ER- (69.7%), PR- (54.1%), or HER2+ (63.1%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic and clinicopathologic characteristicsamong healthy cancer-free controls and patients with breastcancer.

Variable	Controls	Patients	<i>p</i> value
	N=209 (%)	N=314 (%)	
Age (years)	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
	38.5±16.7	53.1±11.4	*p<0.05
Tobacco smo	kers		
No	202 (96.7)	313 (99.7)	
Yes	7 (3.3)	1 (0.3)	*p<0.05
Alcohol cons	umption		
No	203 (97.1)	295 (93.9)	
Yes	6 (2.9)	19 (6.1)	<i>p</i> >0.05
Clinical stage	2		
I/II		242 (77.1)	
III/IV		72 (22.9)	
Tumor size			
≤T2		298 (94.9)	
>T2		16 (5.1)	
Lymph node	status		
N0+N1		247 (78.7)	
N2+N3		67 (21.3)	
Distant meta	stasis		
M0		304 (96.8)	
M1		10 (3.2)	
Histological	grade		
G1+G2		218 (69.4)	
G3+G4		96 (30.6)	
ER status			
Positive		95 (30.3)	
Negative		219 (69.7)	
PR status			
Positive		144 (45.9)	
Negative		170 (54.1)	
HER2 status			
Positive		198 (63.1)	
Negative		116 (36.9)	

The Mann-Whitney U-test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare values between controls and patients with breast cancer. *p < 0.05 was statistically significant. T2 = tumor >20 mm but \leq 50 mm in greatest dimension; N0 = lymph node-negative; N1 = cancer has spread to 1–3 lymph node(s); N2 = 4–9 lymph nodes; N3 = \geq 10 positive lymph nodes; M0 = noninvasive cancer; M1 = cancer has metastasized to organs or lymph nodes away from the breast; G1 = well differentiated (low grade); G2 = moderately differentiated (intermediate grade); G3 = poorly differentiated (high grade); G4 = undifferentiated (high grade); ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; HER2 = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

Polymorphism frequencies are shown in Table 2. All genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p > 0.05). In both study groups, the most frequent genotypes for SNPs rs10491121, rs1634507 and rs1719153 were homozygous for A/A, homozygous for G/G and homozygous for A/A. Analyses that adjusted for potential confounders found no significant between-group differences for the polymorphism frequencies.

Table 2. Distribution frequencies of CCL4 genotypes amonghealthy cancer-free controls and patients with breast cancer.

Variable	Controls	Patients	OR (95% CI)
	N=209 (%)	N=314 (%)	()
rs10491121			
AA	64 (41)	79 (34.2)	1.00 (reference)
AG	92 (59)	152 (65.8)	1.338 (0.88-2.035)
GG	53 (45.3)	83 (51.2)	1.269 (0.787-2.044)
AG+GG	145 (69.4)	235 (74.8)	1.313 (0.89-1.938)
rs1634507			
GG	101 (54.9)	135 (49.5)	1.00 (reference)
GT	83 (45.1)	138 (50.5)	1.244 (0.855-1.810)
TT	25 (19.8)	41 (23.3)	1.227 (0.701-2.148)
GT+TT	108 (51.7)	179 (57)	1.240 (0.873-1.762)
rs1719153			
AA	101 (55.5)	149 (52.7)	1.00 (reference)
AT	81 (44.5)	134 (47.3)	1.121 (0.771-1.630)
TT	27 (21.1)	31 (17.2)	0.778 (0.438-1.382)
AT+TT	108 (51.7)	165 (52.5)	1.036 (0.73-1.470)

The odds ratios (ORs) with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by logistic regression analysis. The adjusted ORs (AORs) with their 95% CIs were estimated by multiple logistic regression analysis that controlled for tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and age.

A comparison of clinicopathologic characteristics and CCL4 genotypes revealed no significant differences (Table 3). Similarly, an analysis of CCL4 genotypic frequencies amongst breast cancer subtypes failed to identify any significant differences between patients and controls (Table 4). However, among luminal A and luminal B subtypes, patients carrying the AG genotype at SNP rs10491121 were less likely to develop lymph node metastasis compared with AA genotype carriers (AOR, 0.298; 95% CI: 0.1-0.885) (Table 5). In addition, patients with the rs10491121 AG + GG genotype were at lower risk of developing distant metastasis compared with AA genotype carriers (AOR, 0.106; 95% CI: 0.011-1.038). Moreover, the presence of the TT haplotype at the SNP rs1719153 (AOR 3.316; 95% CI: 1.12-9.815) increased the likelihood of developing pathologic grade (G3+G4) disease (Table 5).

Figure 1 represents the reconstructed linkage disequilibrium plot of the genotyped polymorphisms in our study population. In one haploblock, rs1634507 and rs10491121 displayed 98% linkage disequilibrium. *CCL4* SNPs rs1634507 and rs1719153 expressed 95% linkage disequilibrium; rs10491121 and rs1719153 expressed 97% linkage disequilibrium (Fig. 1).

Genotype	Patier	ıts	OR (95% CI)
	N=314	(%)	× ,
	Clinica	ll stage	
	Stage I/II	Stage III/IV	
rs10491121	()		
AA	55 (25)	24 (25.5)	1.00 (reference)
AG+GG	165 (75)	70 (74.5)	0.972 (0.558-1.694)
rs1634507	00 (11 5)	27 (22 4)	
GG	98 (44.5)	37 (39.4)	1.00 (reference)
GI+II mo17101E2	122 (55.5)	57 (60.6)	1.237 (0.757-2.024)
A A	100 (40 5)	40 (42 6)	1.00 (reference)
AA AT+TT	109 (49.3) 111 (50.5)	40 (42.8) 54 (57.4)	1.326 (0.815.2.157)
AITI	111 (50.5)	54 (57.4) or size	1.320 (0.813-2.137)
	<t?< td=""><td>N 512C</td><td></td></t?<>	N 512C	
rs10491121	312	~12	
AA	76 (25 5)	3 (18 8)	1.00 (reference)
AG+GG	222 (74 5)	13 (81 2)	1 483 (0 412-5 347)
rs1634507	(/ 1.0)		
GG	130 (43.6)	5 (31.2)	1.00 (reference)
GT+TT	168 (56.4)	11 (68.8)	1.702 (0.577-5.021)
rs1719153		()	()
AA	144 (48.3)	5 (31.2)	1.00 (reference)
AT+TT	154 (51.7)	11 (68.8)	2.057 (0.698-6.065)
	Lymph no	ode status	
	N0+N1	N2+N3	
rs10491121			
AA	68 (86.1)	11 (13.9)	1.00 (reference)
AG+GG	215 (91.5)	20 (8.5)	0.575 (0.262-1.260)
rs1634507			
GG	121 (89.6)	14 (10.4)	1.00 (reference)
GT+TT	162 (90.5)	17 (9.5)	0.907 (0.403-1.911)
rs1719153			
AA	136 (91.3)	13 (8.7)	1.00 (reference)
AT+TT	147 (89.1)	18 (10.9)	1.281 (0.605-2.713)
	Distant n	netastasis	
10401101	M0	M1	
rs10491121		5 ((0)	100 (()
AA	74 (93.7)	5 (6.3)	1.00 (reference)
AGTGG re1634507	250 (97.9)	5 (2.1)	0.322 (0.91-1.142)
15105 1 507	130 (06 3)	5 (3 7)	1.00 (reference)
CT+TT	174 (07 2)	5 (3.7) 5 (2.8)	0.747 (0.212.2.635)
rs1719153	1/7 (7/.2)	5 (2.0)	0.747 (0.212-2.033)
AA	144 (96 6)	5 (3 4)	1.00 (reference)
AT+TT	160 (97)	5 (3)	0.9(0.255-3.172)
	Histolog	zic grade	0.200-0.172)
	G1+G2	G3+G4	
rs10491121			
AA	58 (73.4)	21 (26.6)	1.00 (reference)
AG+GG	160 (68.1)	75 (31.9)	1.295 (0.732-2.288)
rs1634507	× ,		· · · · · ·
GG	99 (73.3)	36 (26.7)	1.00 (reference)
GT+TT	119 (66.5)	60 (33.5)	1.387 (0.848-2.267)
rs1719153			. ,
AA	109 (73.2)	40 (26.8)	1.00 (reference)
AT+TT	109 (66.1)	56 (33.9)	1.4 (0.862-2.274)

Table 3. Odds ratios and their confidence intervals for clinical status and CCL4 genotypic frequencies in patients with breast cancer.

The odds ratios (ORs) with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by logistic regression analysis. The adjusted odds ratios (AORs) with their 95% CIs were estimated by multiple logistic regression analysis that controlled for smoking, consumption and age.

T2 = tumor >20 mm but <50 mm in greatest dimension; N0 = lymph node-negative; N1 = cancer has spread to 1-3 lymph node(s); N2 = 4-9 lymph nodes; N3 = >10 positive lymph nodes; M0 = noninvasive cancer; M1 = cancer has metastasized to organs or lymph nodes away from the breast; G1 = well differentiated (low grade); G2 = moderately differentiated (intermediate grade); G3 = poorly differentiated (high grade); G4 = undifferentiated (high grade).

Table 4. Distribution fre	quencies of CCL4	genotypes in	breast cancer	subtypes
---------------------------	------------------	--------------	---------------	----------

Variable	Control N= 209(%)	Patients N= 220(%)	OR (95% CI)	Variable	Control N= 209(%)	Patients N= 94(%)	OR (95% CI)
	. ,	Lumina A + Lumina B	· · ·		. ,	HER2 overexpression + TNBC	, , ,
rs1049112	21			rs1049112	21		
AA	64 (53.8)	55 (46.2)	1.00 (reference)	AA	64 (76.2)	20 (23.8)	1.00 (reference)
AG	92 (45.8)	109 (54.2)	1.379 (0.875-2.173)	AG	92 (74.2)	32 (25.8)	1.113 (0.585-2.118)
GG	53 (48.6)	56 (51.4)	1.23 (0.731-2.069)	GG	53 (72.6)	20 (27.4)	1.208 (0.588-2.478)
AG+GG	145 (46.8)	165 (53.2)	1.324 (0.867-2.023)	AG+GG	145 (73.6)	52 (26.4)	1.148 (0.634-2.078)
rs1634502	7			rs1634502	7		
GG	101 (50.8)	98 (49.2)	1.00 (reference)	GG	101 (77.7)	29 (22.3)	1.00 (reference)
GT	83 (46.6)	95 (53.4)	1.18 (0.787-1.768)	GT	83 (69.7)	36 (30.3)	1.511 (0.855-2.668)
TT	25 (48.1)	27 (49.8)	1.113 (0.604-2.050)	TT	25 (78.1)	7 (21.9)	0.975 (0.383-2.482)
GT+TT	108 (47)	122 (53)	1.164 (0.796-1.702)	GT+TT	108 (74.4)	72 (25.6)	1.387 (0.805-2.388)
rs1719153	3			rs1719153	3		
AA	101 (48.1)	109 (51.9)	1.00 (reference)	AA	101 (75.9)	32 (24.1)	1.00 (reference)
AT	81 (46.3)	94 (53.7)	1.075 (0.719-1.607)	AT	81 (69.8)	35 (30.2)	1.364 (0.778-2.391)
TT	27 (61.4)	17 (38.6)	0.583 (0.3-1.134)	TT	27 (84.4)	5 (15.6)	0.584 (0.208-1.643)
AT+TT	108 (49.3)	111 (50.7)	0.952 (0.652-1.391)	AT+TT	108 (73)	40 (27)	1.169 (0.682-2.002)

The odds ratios (ORs) with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by logistic regression analysis. The adjusted odds ratios (AORs) with their 95% CIs were estimated by multiple logistic regression analysis that controlled for smoking, consumption and age.

HER2 = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC = triple-negative breast cancer.

Table 5. Odds ratios and their conf	fidence intervals for clinical s	status and CCL4 genotypic t	frequencies in breast cancer subtypes
-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

Variable		Luminal A + N=220 (%)	Luminal B		HER2 overexpi N=94 (%)	ession + TNBC	
		Clinical Stage	2		Clinical Stage		
		Stage I/II	Stage III/IV	OR (95% CI)	Stage I/II	Stage III/IV	OR (95% CI)
	rs10491121	0	0		0	0	
AA		40 (72.7)	15 (27.3)	1.00 (reference)	19 (79.2)	5 (20.8)	1.00 (reference)
AG		93 (85.3)	16 (14.7)	0.459 (0.207-1.017)	27 (62.8)	16 (37.2)	2.252 (0.704-7.206)
GG		40 (71.4)	16 (28.6)	1.067 (0.465-2.445)	23 (85.2)	4 (14.8)	0.661 (0.155-2.813)
AG+GG		133 (80.6)	32 (19.4)	0.642 (0.316-1.302)	50 (71.4)	20 (28.6)	1.52 (0.499-4.627)
	rs1634507						
GG		77 (78.6)	21 (21.4)	1.00 (reference)	29 (78.4)	8 (21.6)	1.00 (reference)
GT		74 (77.9)	21 (22.1)	1.041 (0.525-2.062)	28 (65.1)	15 (34.9)	1.942 (0.712-5.294)
TT		22 (81.5)	5 (18.5)	0.833 (0.282-2.464)	12 (85.7)	2 (14.3)	0.604 (0.112-3.272)
GT+TT		96 (78.7)	26 (21.3)	0.993 (0.519-1.899)	40 (70.2)	17 (29.8)	1.541 (0.586-4.051)
	rs1719153						
AA		85 (78)	24 (22)	1.00 (reference)	32 (80)	8 (20)	1.00 (reference)
AT		74 (78.7)	20 (21.3)	0.957 (0.49-1.871)	25 (62.5)	15 (37.5)	2.4 (0.879-6.556)
TT		14 (82.4)	3 (17.6)	0.759 (0.201-2.86)	12 (85.7)	2 (14.3)	0.667 (0.124-3.597)
AT+TT		88 (79.3)	23 (20.7)	0.926 (0.486-1.764)	37 (68.5)	17 (31.5)	1.838 (0.701-4.821)
		Tumor size			Tumor size		
		≤T2	>T2	OR (95% CI)	≤ T2	>T2	OR (95% CI)
	rs10491121						
AA		53 (96.4)	2 (3.6)	1.00 (reference)	23 (95.8)	1 (4.2)	1.00 (reference)
AG		106 (97.2)	3 (2.8)	0.75 (0.122-4.626)	38 (88.4)	5 (11.6)	3.026 (0.332-27.548)
GG		54 (96.4)	2 (3.6)	0.981 (0.133-7.225)	24 (88.9)	3 (11.1)	2.875 (0.279-29.677)
AG+GG		160 (97)	5 (3)	0.828 (0.156-4.395)	62 (88.6)	8 (11.4)	2.968 (0.352-25.054)
	rs1634507						
GG		95 (96.9)	3 (3.1)	1.00 (reference)	35 (94.6)	2 (5.4)	1.00 (reference)
GT		92 (96.8)	3 (3.2)	1.033 (0.203-5.248)	37 (86)	6 (14)	2.838 (0.537-15.01)
TT		26 (96.3)	1 (3.7)	1.218 (0.122-12.201)	13 (92.9)	1 (7.1)	1.346 (0.112-16.13)
GT+TT		118 (96.7)	4 (3.3)	1.073 (0.235-4.914)	50 (87.7)	7 (12.3)	2.45 (0.48-12.501)
	rs1719153						
AA		106 (97.2)	3 (2.8)	1.00 (reference)	38 (95)	2 (5)	1.00 (reference)
AT		91 (96.8)	3 (3.2)	1.165 (0.229-5.913)	34 (85)	6 (15)	3.353 (0.634-17.738)
TT		16 (94.1)	1 (5.9)	2.208 (0.216-22.548)	13 (92.9)	1 (7.1)	1.462 (0.122-17.482)
AT+TT		107 (96.4)	4 (3.6)	1.321 (0.289-6.044)	47 (87)	7 (13)	2.83 (0.555-14.423)
		Lymph node status			Lymph node st		
		N0+N1	N2+N3	OR (95% CI)	N0+N1	N2+N3	OR (95% CI)
	rs10491121						
AA		46 (83.6)	9 (16.4)	1.00 (reference)	22 (91.7)	2 (8.3)	1.00 (reference)
AG		103 (94.5)	6 (5.5)	0.298 (0.1-0.885)*	37 (86)	6 (14)	1.784 (0.331-9.619)
GG		48 (85.7)	8 (14.3)	0.852 (0.303-2.397)	27 (100)	0 (0)	0.917 (0.813-1.034)
AG+GG		151 (91.5)	14 (8.5)	0.474 (0.193-1.166)	64 (91.4)	6 (8.6)	1.031 (0.194-5.489)
	rs1634507						
GG		87 (88.8)	11 (11.2)	1.00 (reference)	34 (91.9)	3 (8.1)	1.00 (reference)

Variable		Luminal A +	Luminal B		HER2 overexpression + TNBC		
		N=220 (%)			N=94 (%)		
GT		87 (91.6)	8 (8.4)	0.727 (0.279-1.896)	38 (88.4)	5 (11.6)	1.491 (0.331-6.712)
TT		23 (85.2)	4 (14.8)	1.375 (0.401-4.721)	14 (100)	0 (0)	0.919 (0.835-1.011)
GT+TT		110 (90.2)	23 (10.5)	0.863 (0.363-2.049)	52 (91.2)	5 (8.8)	1.09 (0.244-4.861)
	rs1719153						
AA		99 (90.8)	10 (9.2)	1.00 (reference)	37 (92.5)	3 (7.5)	1.00 (reference)
AT		84 (89.4)	10 (10.6)	1.179 (0.468-2.968)	35 (87.5)	5 (12.5)	1.762 (0.392-7.929)
TT		14 (82.4)	3 (17.6)	2.121 (0.52-8.658)	14 (100)	0 (0)	0.925 (0.847-1.01)
AT+TT		98 (88.3)	13 (11.7)	1.313 (0.55-3.136)	49 (90.7)	5 (9.3)	1.259 (0.283-5.605)
		Distant meta	istasis		Distant meta	stasis	
		M0	M1	OR (95% CI)	M0	M1	OR (95% CI)
	rs10491121						
AA		52 (94.5)	3 (5.5)	1.00 (reference)	22 (91.7)	2 (8.3)	1.00 (reference)
AG		109 (100)	0 (0)	0.945 (0.887-1.007)*	40 (93)	3 (7)	0.825 (0.128-5.317)
GG		55 (98.2)	1 (1.8)	0.315 (0.032-3.127)	26 (96.3)	1 (3.7)	0.423 (0.036-4.985)
AG+GG		164 (99.4)	1 (0.6)	0.106 (0.011-1.038)*	66 (94.3)	4 (5.7)	0.667 (0.114-3.893)
	rs1634507						
GG		95 (96.9)	3 (3.1)	1.00 (reference)	35 (94.6)	2 (5.4)	1.00 (reference)
GT		95 (100)	0 (0)	0.969 (0.936-1.004)	39 (90.7)	4 (9.3)	1.795 (0.31-10.408)
TT		26 (96.3)	1 (3.7)	1.218 (0.122-12.201)	14 (100)	0 (0)	0.946 (0.876-1.022)
GT+TT		121 (99.2)	1 (0.8)	0.262 (0.027-2.556)	53 (93)	4 (7)	1.321 (0.229-7.602)
	rs1719153						
AA		106 (97.2)	3 (2.8)	1.00 (reference)	38 (95)	2 (5)	1.00 (reference)
AT		94 (100)	0 (0)	0.972 (0.942-1.004)	36 (90)	4 (10)	2.111 (0.364-12.24)
TT		16 (94.1)	1 (5.9)	2.208 (0.216-22.548)	14 (100)	0 (0)	0.95 (0.885-1.02)
AT+TT		110 (99.1)	1 (0.9)	0.321 (0.033-3.137)	50 (92.6)	4 (7.4)	1.52 (0.264-8.738)
		Histological	grade		Histological	grade	
		G1+G2	G3+G4	OR (95% CI)	G1+G2	G3+G4	OR (95% CI)
	rs10491121						
AA		45 (81.8)	10 (18.2)	1.00 (reference)	13 (54.2)	11 (45.8)	1.00 (reference)
AG		95 (87.2)	14 (12.8)	0.663 (0.274-1.608)	16 (37.2)	27 (62.8)	1.994 (0.724-5.495)
GG		40 (71.4)	16 (28.6)	1.8 (0.734-4.417)	9 (33.3)	18 (66.7)	2.364 (0.761-7.343)
AG+GG		135 (81.8)	30 (18.2)	1 (0.453-2.206)	25 (35.7)	45 (64.3)	2.127 (0.831-5.446)
	rs1634507						
GG		81 (82.7)	17 (17.3)	1.00 (reference)	18 (48.6)	19 (51.4)	1.00 (reference)
GT		81 (85.3)	14 (14.7)	0.824 (0.381-1.781)	16 (37.2)	27 (62.8)	1.599 (0.654-3.906)
TT		18 (66.7)	9 (33.3)	2.382 (0.916-6.196)	4 (28.6)	10 (71.4)	2.368 (0.628-8.926)
GT+TT		99 (81.1)	23 (18.9)	1.107 (0.554-2.212)	20 (35.1)	37 (64.9)	1.753 (0.754-4.074)
	rs1719153						
AA		90 (82.6)	19 (17.4)	1.00 (reference)	19 (47.5)	21 (52.5)	1.00 (reference)
AT		80 (85.1)	14 (14.9)	0.829 (0.39-1.76)	13 (32.5)	27 (67.5)	1.879 (0.759-4.655)
TT		10 (58.8)	7 (41.2)	3.316 (1.12-9.815)*	6 (42.9)	8 (57.1)	1.206 (0.354-4.115)
AT+TT		90 (81.1)	21 (18.9)	1.105 (0.557-2.195)	19 (35.2)	35 (64.8)	1.667 (0.723-3.841)

The odds ratios (ORs) with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by logistic regression analysis. The adjusted odds ratios (AORs) with their 95% CIs were estimated by multiple logistic regression analysis that controlled for smoking, consumption and age. * p<0.05.

HER2 = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC = triple-negative breast cancer; T2 = tumor >20 mm but \leq 50 mm in greatest dimension; N0 = lymph node-negative; N1 = cancer has spread to 1-3 lymph node(s); N2 = 4-9 lymph nodes; N3 = \geq 10 positive lymph nodes; M0 = noninvasive cancer; M1 = cancer has metastasized to organs or lymph nodes away from the breast; G1 = well differentiated (low grade); G2 = moderately differentiated (intermediate grade); G3 = poorly differentiated (high grade); G4 = undifferentiated (high grade).



Figure 1. Linkage disequilibrium patterns of three single nucleotide polymorphisms in the *CCL4* gene.

Discussion

CCL4, also known as macrophage inflammatory protein-1 β (MIP-1 β), belongs to the pro-inflammatory CC subfamily. MIP proteins recruit pro-inflammatory cells and thus play a crucial role in acute and chronic inflammatory responses in various conditions including asthma, granuloma formation, wound healing, arthritis, multiple sclerosis, pneumonia, and psoriasis [16]. Accumulating evidences indicated CCL4 expression associated with cancer progression such as oral cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma [12, 17]. We have previously suggested that CCL4 gene polymorphisms influence susceptibility to oral cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma and affect their progression [11, 12]. We found that CCL4 rs1634507 G/T polymorphism increased a risk in oral-cancer susceptibility, but *CCL4* rs10491121 A/G polymorphism decreased a risk in hepatocellular carcinoma. Now, the findings from this study indicate that *CCL4* SNPs may serve as candidate biomarkers for susceptibility to breast cancer.

The 5-year relative survival rate for breast cancer has gradually increased since the early 1990s; between 2007 and 2011 it was ~89.2%. As breast cancer prognosis depends upon the disease stage at the time of diagnosis, increasing screening rates and making genetic testing more widely available increase the chances of early diagnosis [24, 25]. Our study is the first to examine the expression of SNPs rs1634507, rs10491121 and rs1719153 and their possible association with the development of breast cancer. Our investigation into possible associations between these CCL4 SNPs, clinicopathologic markers, and disease susceptibility failed to find any significant differences between patients and healthy controls. Moreover, CCL4 SNPs did not differ significantly according to breast cancer clinical aspects. Amongst luminal A and luminal B subtypes, patients carrying the AG haplotype at SNP rs10491121 were less likely to develop lymph node metastasis compared with patients with the AA haplotype, while patients carrying the AG+GG haplotype at rs10491121 were less likely to develop distant metastasis. The presence of the AT haplotype at the SNP rs1719153 increased the likelihood of developing pathologic grade (G3+G4) disease.

Linkage disequilibrium is expressed across the human genome. Thus, loci can be used as genetic markers to locate adjacent variants that participate in the detection and treatment of disease. Haplotype analyses clarify genetic contribution to disease susceptibility [26, 27]. We observed 98% linkage disequilibrium between rs1634507 and rs10491121, 95% linkage disequilibrium between rs1634507 and rs1719153, and 97% between rs10491121 and rs1719153. These results suggest that these *CCL4* haplotypes play an important role in breast cancer development.

This is the first study to demonstrate a correlation between *CCL4* polymorphisms and breast cancer risk. *CCL4* may prove to be a diagnostic marker and therapeutic target for breast cancer therapy.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by two grants from China Medical University Hospital (CMU106-S-05) of Taiwan and Medical and Health Science and Technology Project of Zhejiang Province (2012KYB230) of China.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2011; 61: 69-90.
- Amir E, Freedman OC, Seruga B, Evans DG. Assessing women at high risk of breast cancer: a review of risk assessment models. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2010; 102: 680-91.
- Shen CC, Yang AC, Hung JH, Hu LY, Tsai SJ. A nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study of the risk of uterine, ovarian and breast cancer in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Oncologist. 2015; 20: 45-9.
- Deng Y, Xu H, Zeng X. Induced abortion and breast cancer: An updated meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018; 97: e9613.
- Yang MD, Lin KC, Lu MC, Jeng LB, Hsiao CL, Yueh TC, et al. Contribution of matrix metalloproteinases-1 genotypes to gastric cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. BioMedicine. 2017; 7: 10.
- Chen CM, Lai CH, Wu HJ, Wu LT. Genetic characteristic of class 1 integrons in proteus mirabilis isolates from urine samples. BioMedicine. 2017; 7: 9.
- Chang WS, Liu LC, Hsiao CL, Su CH, Wang HC, Ji HX, et al. The contributions of the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 genotypes to triple negative breast cancer risk. BioMedicine. 2016; 6: 4.
- Nagy R, Sweet K, Eng C. Highly penetrant hereditary cancer syndromes. Oncogene. 2004; 23: 6445-70.
- Antoniou AC, Pharoah PD, Narod S, Risch HA, Eyfjord JE, Hopper JL, et al. Breast and ovarian cancer risks to carriers of the BRCA1 5382insC and 185delAG and BRCA2 6174delT mutations: a combined analysis of 22 population based studies. J Med Genet. 2005; 42: 602-3.
- Wang CQ, Tang CH, Wang Y, Jin L, Wang Q, Li X, et al. FSCN1 gene polymorphisms: biomarkers for the development and progression of breast cancer. Scientific reports. 2017; 7: 15887.
- Wang B, Chou Y-E, Lien M-Y, Su C-M, Yang S-F, Tang C-H. Impacts of CCL4 gene polymorphisms on hepatocellular carcinoma susceptibility and development. International journal of medical sciences. 2017; 14: 880.
- Lien M-Y, Lin C-W, Tsai H-C, Chen Y-T, Tsai M-H, Hua C-H, et al. Impact of CCL4 gene polymorphisms and environmental factors on oral cancer development and clinical characteristics. Oncotarget. 2017; 8: 31424.
- Pedrosa E, Carretero-Iglesia L, Boada A, Colobran R, Faner R, Pujol-Autonell I, et al. CCL4L polymorphisms and CCL4/CCL4L serum levels are associated with psoriasis severity. Journal of Investigative Dermatology. 2011; 131: 1830-7.
- Lien MY, Tsai HC, Chang AC, Tsai MH, Hua CH, Wang SW, et al. Chemokine CCL4 Induces Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor C Expression and Lymphangiogenesis by miR-195-3p in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Frontiers in immunology. 2018; 9: 412.
- Menten P, Wuyts A, Van Damme J. Macrophage inflammatory protein-1. Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews. 2002; 13: 455-81.
- Maurer M, Von Stebut E. Macrophage inflammatory protein-1. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2004; 36: 1882-6.
- Borzi RM, Mazzetti I, Macor S, Silvestri T, Bassi A, Cattini L, et al. Flow cytometric analysis of intracellular chemokines in chondrocytes in vivo: constitutive expression and enhancement in osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. FEBS Letters. 1999; 455: 238-42.
- Elston CW, Ellis IO. Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. I. The value of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. Histopathology. 1991; 19: 403-10.
- Wang CQ, Tang CH, Wang Y, Jin L, Wang Q, Li X, et al. FSCN1 gene polymorphisms: biomarkers for the development and progression of breast cancer. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 15887.
- Wang CQ, Li Y, Huang BF, Zhao YM, Yuan H, Guo D, et al. EGFR conjunct FSCN1 as a Novel Therapeutic Strategy in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. Scientific reports. 2017; 7: 15654.
- Wang CQ, Tang CH, Chang HT, Li XN, Zhao YM, Su CM, et al. Fascin-1 as a novel diagnostic marker of triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Med. 2016; 5: 1983-8.
- Hu W, Liu PY, Yang YC, Chen PC, Su CM, Chao CC, et al. Association of HMGB1 Gene Polymorphisms with Lung Cancer Susceptibility and Clinical Aspects. Int J Med Sci. 2017; 14: 1197-202.
- Wang LH, Wu MH, Chen PC, Su CM, Xu G, Huang CC, et al. Prognostic significance of high-mobility group box protein 1 genetic polymorphisms in rheumatoid arthritis disease outcome. International journal of medical sciences. 2017; 14: 1382-8.
- 24. Wood DE. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening. Thorac Surg Clin. 2015; 25: 185-97.
- Moyer VA. Medications to decrease the risk for breast cancer in women: recommendations from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2013; 159: 698-708.

- Shifman S, Bronstein M, Sternfeld M, Pisante-Shalom A, Lev-Lehman E, Weizman A, et al. A highly significant association between a COMT haplotype and schizophrenia. Am J Hum Genet. 2002; 71: 1296-302.
- Zhang L, Zhang Y, Tang CH, Su CM. RAD52 gene polymorphisms are associated with risk of colorectal cancer in a Chinese Han population. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017; 96: e8994.